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**ABSTRACT**

Numerical investigate of double-diffusive natural convection in an inclined porous square. Two opposing walls of the square cavity are adiabatic; while the other walls are, kept at constant concentrations and temperatures. The Darcy–Forchheimer–Brinkman model is used to solve the governing equations with the Boussinesq approximation. A code written in FORTRAN language developed to solve the governing equations in dimensionless forms using a finite volume approach with SIMPLER algorithm. The results presented in U-velocity and V-velocity, isotherms, iso-concentration, streamline, the average Nusselt number, and the average Sherwood number for different values of the dimensionless parameters. A wide range of these parameters have been used including; Darcy Number, modified Rayleigh number, Lewis number, buoyancy ratio, and inclination angle. The results show that for opposite buoyancy ratio ($N \leq -1$), the Nu decreases when the Le increases and the Sh increase when the Le increases. For an ($N>0$), the Nu increases when the Le increases until Le is equal to 1 and then it decreases, also Sh increases when the Le increases.

1. Introduction

There are several important engineering applications for the convective heat and mass transfer on surfaces and rectangular porous cavities. Many studies presented in terms of uniform/non-uniform surface temperatures and/or heat flux Nield and Bejan [1]. A numerical and analytical investigation made by Vasseur et al. [2] on thin inclined porous layer to presented the effect of natural convective heat transfer by using a constant heat flux on two opposing walls. The buoyancy has driven the flow by using the Boussinesq approximation in a Darcy porous medium subjected to constant heat flux conditions. The successive over-relaxation method was used to solve the momentum equations and the energy equation was solved by using the alternating direction implicit method. The analytical solution was tested numerically in the range; $20 \leq R \leq 50$, $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi$ and $2 \leq A \leq 10$. Later, the Vasseur et al.’s works have been extended by Sen et al. [3] to cover the flows which presented the unicellular convective motions only. Sen et al. studied a multiplicity of solutions for inclined porous enclosures. Their results presented in terms of small inclination angles and small range of Rayleigh number . Moya et al. [4], Caltagirone and Bories [5], and Báez and Nicolás [6] also studied the same cases. An experimental study of natural convective heat transfer in inclined porous layer by Inaba et al. [7]. In their studies, a tall rectangular cavity has been used. Two opposing walls were kept at different constant temperatures while the other walls were insulated. The experimental work covered a wide range of modified Prandtl number $3.1 \leq Pr^* \leq 499$, the modified Rayleigh number.
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34 * 10^4 < Ra* < 3.8 * 10^5 , the geometrical aspect ratio 
5 ≤ H/W ≤ 32.7 , inclination angles 0 ≤ θ ≤ 180 and
0.074 < d/W ≤ 1.0 . Four correlation equations for the natural heat
transfer have been presented. Their results showed that; the maximum
Nusselt number occurs when the angle of inclination equal to 0°, for high
Rayleigh numbers. Furthermore, the maximum Nusselt number occurs also,
at low Rayleigh numbers when the angle of inclination equal to 60°.
Another numerical study made by Hsiao [8] to presented the effects of the
variable porosity on the natural heat transfer in an inclined porous
enclosure. An important study of double-diffusive natural heat and mass
transfer in an inclined porous enclosure, with the presence of temperature-
difference dependent heat generation made by Chamkha and Al-Mudahf
[9]. The results were presented for (A=2, Pr=7.6, and Le=10). In general,
the results showed that; the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers decreases when
the angle of inclination increases. However, there was an exception at a
critical angle where the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers achieved the
maximum magnitude. Wang et al. [10] studied the effect of time-periodic
boundary conditions on inclined porous enclosure by using Darcy-
Brinkman model. Later, three-dimensional unsteady state natural
convective heat transfer in an inclined porous cavity with time oscillating
boundary conditions investigated numerically by Wang et al. [11]. The
Darcy–Forchheimer–Brinkman model has been used in there study. Their
results showed that, for a small inclination angle (0° ≤ α ≤ 75°), the natural
convection inside the cavity almost stable and could being two-
dimensional. On the other hand, for large inclination angle (75° ≤ α ≤ 90°),
the flow patterns inside are much more complicated and three-dimensional
multiple roll-cells with different intercrossing angles are established. Many
studies presented in Nield and Bejan [1], Ingham and Pop [12, 13], and
Mojtabi and Charrier-Mojtabi [14] books in terms of double diffusion heat
and mass transfer in saturated porous medium. Nithiarasu et al. [15, 16]
studied numerically the double-diffusion natural convection by using Non-
Darcian models for opposing equal Buoyancy forces due to temperature
and concentration. Many cases have been studied in terms of unsteady flow
[17-21]. Also there are many studies of double diffusive natural convective
in rectangular porous enclosure using Darcy model, effect of magnetic
field, and source and sink heat for example [22]. Kefayati [23, 24] studied
numerically the double diffusive natural convection flow in an inclined
porous cavity for non-Newtonian power-law fluids flow. The Finite
Difference Lattice Boltzmann Method has been used in this simulation. It
was obtained that the Soret and Dufour parameters effect on the heat and
mass and the entropy generation transfer considerably. Zhuang [25] studied
numerically the double diffusive natural convective heat and mass transfer
of power-law fluids in a cubic porous cavity with chemical reaction under
the local thermal non-equilibrium. The generalized non-Darcy model has
been used and the chemical reaction submitted to horizontal concentration
and temperature gradients using the compact high order finite volume
method.

To the authors’ knowledge, double-diffusive natural convective heat and
mass transfer in an inclined square porous medium, using the generalized
model, has not been studied deeply. In this paper, the steady Double-
Diffusive Natural Convection in an Inclined Porous Square Domain
Generalized Model are investigated. Two opposing walls of the square
cavity are adiabatic, while the other walls are at constant concentrations and
temperatures. AL-FARHANY code (written in FORTRAN language) have
been used to solve the governing equations in dimensionless forms using
finite volume approach with SIMPLER algorithm. The results are presented
in term of Nusselt number and Sherwood number profiles as well as
streamlines, isotherms and iso-concentration.

2. Mathematical Modelling

The geometrical model of the two-dimensional inclined square cavity filled
with a homogeneous porous medium has been presented in Fig. 1. The
walls assumed to be impervious. Two opposing walls of the square
enclosure are adiabatic; while the other walls are, have constant
concentrations and temperatures. The medium is assumed to be
incompressible, isotropic, and homogeneous.

Figure 1. Scheme of the model

The governing equations in terms of non-dimensional form are: [1]:

\[ \mathbf{\rho} = \mathbf{\rho} \left[ 1 - \beta_1 (\mathbf{\sigma} - \mathbf{T}_r) - \left[ 1 - \beta_2 (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}_r) \right] \right] \]  
(1)
Dimensionless continuity equation:
\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0 \] (2)

Dimensionless momentum equation in X-axis:
\[ \frac{1}{U} \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} = \frac{-\partial P}{\partial X} - \frac{Pr}{D} \frac{U}{\sqrt{150}} - \frac{1.75 \sqrt{U^2 + V^2}}{Da} \frac{U}{\sqrt{150}} \frac{V}{Da} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + \frac{Pr}{Da} \frac{U}{\sqrt{150}} \frac{V}{Da} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \] (3)

Dimensionless momentum equation in Y-axis:
\[ \frac{1}{U} \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} + \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = \frac{-\partial P}{\partial Y} - \frac{Pr}{D} \frac{V}{\sqrt{150}} - \frac{1.75 \sqrt{U^2 + V^2}}{Da} \frac{V}{\sqrt{150}} \frac{U}{Da} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} + \frac{Pr}{Da} \frac{V}{\sqrt{150}} \frac{U}{Da} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} \] (4)

Dimensionless energy equation:
\[ \frac{U}{\partial X} \frac{\partial T}{\partial X} + \frac{V}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial T}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} \] (5)

Dimensionless species equation:
\[ \frac{U}{\partial X} \frac{\partial C}{\partial X} + \frac{V}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} \] (6)

The average Nusselt number \( \left( \overline{Nu} \right) \) and Sherwood number \( \left( \overline{Sh} \right) \) at the walls can be calculated as:
\[ \overline{Nu} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial X} \, dY \] (7)
\[ \overline{Sh} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial C}{\partial X} \, dY \] (8)

The Non-dimensional parameters are:
\[ Y = \frac{Y}{L}, \quad X = \frac{X}{L}, \quad U = \frac{UL}{\alpha}, \quad V = \frac{VL}{\alpha}, \quad Pr = \frac{V}{\alpha}, \quad Da = \frac{K}{L}, \quad \alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c_p}, \quad \beta = \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta T}, \quad \frac{pL^2}{\rho a^2} = \frac{g \beta \Delta T L^3}{\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial X} = \frac{C_L}{\beta}, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} = \frac{C_T}{\beta}, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} = \frac{C_S}{\beta}, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} = \frac{C_C}{\beta}, \quad \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y}. \] (9)

The Non-dimensional initial boundary conditions are:
\[ \text{at } X = 0, \quad \text{and any } Y; \quad U = V = 0; \quad C_x = T_x = 1 \] (10-a)
\[ \text{at } X = 1, \quad \text{and any } Y; \quad U = V = 0; \quad C_x = T_x = 0 \] (10-b)
\[ \text{at } Y = 0,1, \quad \text{and } X; \quad U = V = 0; \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial Y} = 0 \] (10-c)

3. Solution Procedure

In this study, the non-dimensional governing equations solved by using the finite volume method. The SIMPLER algorithm has been chosen for the pressure velocity coupling. A second order central discretization scheme is used for the energy, species and momentum equations. The mesh independent approach has been used to choose the optimum grid size, which was 120X120. Al-FARHANY code has been used in this study and it was validated using previous studies (Al-Farhany and Turan [26-28]).

4. Results

The results presented in terms of streamlines, isotherms, and iso-concentration, U-velocity, V-velocity, as well as the average Sherwood number and Nusselt number profiles. The Prandtl number is taken as unity. Fig. 2 shows the stream functions, isothersms and iso-concentration lines for \( Da=10^4, Ra=100, \) and \( Le=10.0 \) where \( N=1.0 \), with different inclination angles \( \phi \). Where there is no inclination angle \( \phi=0^\circ \), the secondary circulations appear and the counter clockwise flow is homogenised and symmetric on the main diagonal of the porous cavity. This happens because the buoyancy ratio, which is a ratio of fluid density contributions by the concentration to the temperature variations, is equal to -1. The maximum positive stream functions are about 1.20 and the maximum negative stream functions were about -0.7. It is observed that the variations of isotherms near the insulated walls are higher than in the middle of the cavity. For the left half of the cavity \( 0 < X < 0.5 \), and near the left bottom corner, it is observed that the isotherms are increased in the isotherms up to that are near the middle of the cavity height and then decreased until the left top corner. This behaviour occurred as a result of the fluid rising due to the influence of the buoyancy force and the effect of the secondary circulations. It is clear that the maximum isotherms are not in the middle of the cavity length but they are almost near places where there is no movement in the fluid flow (when the stream functions are equal to zero). The same behaviour could be seen in the left half of the cavity but in the opposite direction. Also, for the iso-concentration, it could be seen that the iso-concentration field is more sensitive than the isotherms and that occurred due to the considered Lewis number \( Le=10.0 \). The maximum (minimum) iso-concentration appeared near the left (right) wall and around the maximum positive stream functions and most of the iso-concentration variations were near the maximum negative stream functions which are around the main diagonal of the cavity. By increasing the angle of inclination \( \phi \), it is observed that the positive vortex strength increased when \( \phi \) is increased until \( \phi=60^\circ \) and after that the positive vortex strength decreased with increasing of \( \phi \). Also, the left positive secondary circulations decreased until they disappeared. For \( \phi=45^\circ \), the maximum positive stream functions and the maximum negative stream functions were about 3.85 and -0.29 respectively. Furthermore, the negative vortex strength is decreased when \( \phi \) is increased around \( 45^\circ \) and then it increased with the increasing of \( \phi \). As noted above, the maximum iso-concentration variations are near the maximum negative stream functions and the iso-
concentration lines are almost parallel close to the left high concentration wall and then increased in the iso-concentration fields near the insulated top wall. This behaviour is clearly observed with an increase of the angle of inclination for both isotherm and iso-concentrations’ fields. For cases of \( \phi=90^\circ \), different behaviours appeared. It is observed that no vortex appeared and that happened because of low flow intensity. The heat was transfer through the porous cavity by conduction instead of natural convection. For a low Lewis number, Fig. 3 shows the stream functions, isotherms and iso-concentration lines for \( Da=10^4 \), \( Ra=10^6 \), \( Pr=1 \), and \( Le=0.1 \) with different inclination angles \( \phi \) where \( N=1.0 \). For a low Lewis number, the flow has almost been driven due to mass transfer rather than heat transfer. When the buoyancy ratio is equal to \(-1\), Fig. 3 shows that no significant heat and mass transfer appears for a low inclination angle especially when the inclination angle is equal to zero \( \phi=0^\circ \). From the stream function lines, it is clear that the vortex strength of the fluid is very small. Furthermore, the concentration boundary layer is nearly equal to the isotherms’ boundary layer and it clearly can be seen that the isotherm and iso-concentration lines are parallel and both the Nusselt and Sherwood numbers are equal to 1. For \( \phi > 0^\circ \), it can be observed that the positive vortex strength increases when the angle of the inclination is increased until \( \phi=60^\circ \) and subsequently the positive vortex strength decreases when the angle of the inclination is increased. Also, the negative secondary circulations decrease until they disappear. For \( \phi=45^\circ \), the maximum positive stream functions and the maximum negative stream functions are about 2.0 and -0.04 respectively. The maximum isotherms’ boundary layer can be seen at \( \phi=60^\circ \); therefore the Nusselt number is also at its maximum at \( \phi=60^\circ \), as shown in Table 1. For the cases of heated and concentrated from below \((\phi=90^\circ)\), a multiplicity of solutions happened (monocellular and bicellular flows), most of these solution results are in bicellular flow as shown in the Fig. 3. For \( Le=0.1 \), the bicellular flow solution was chosen for all the cases of \( \phi=90^\circ \). In the case of the isotherms, it is observed that the isotherms’ boundary layers near the insulated walls are thinner than the isotherms’ boundary layers near the middle of the bottom wall. Because of this, the fluid flows in the porous cavity rise up from the middle of the hot bottom wall towards the cold top wall. As a result of the effects of the thick cold boundary layer near the top wall, the vertical fluid flow velocities decreased until they are at a minimum near the cold wall, subsequently, the fluid flow was driven to two different horizontal directions to achieve the bicellular flows. For a high buoyancy ratio, Fig. 4 shown the stream functions, iso-concentration and isotherms for \( Da=10^4 \), \( Ra=10^6 \), \( Pr=1 \), and \( Le=0.1 \) with different inclination angles \( \phi \) where \( N=+5.0 \). A high positive buoyancy ratio means that the fluid rises up due to the influence of the temperature and mass variations which is more than the effect of the temperature variations in the buoyancy force. The results clearly show that when the angle of the inclination increases, the vortex strength of the fluid increases until \( \phi=45^\circ \) that subsequently the vortex strength decreases when the \( \phi \) increases. It can also be observed that there is only a main clockwise flow (no secondary flow) at a maximum positive stream function of about 34.65 at \( \phi=45^\circ \). Moreover, the isotherms’ boundary layer is too thin near the hot wall and it increases near the left upper corner. Also, it is very clear that the isotherms are more sensitive than the iso-concentrations and this happens due to the considered Lewis number \( Le=0.1 \). In the case of a low Lewis number the effect of the inclination angles are not significant in either the isotherm or the iso-concentrations’ field. As mentioned previously, when \( \phi=90^\circ \) bicellular flows occur. Also, it is observed that the isotherms’ boundary layers near the insulated walls are thicker than the isotherms’ boundary layers near the middle of the bottom wall. This is because the fluid flows in the porous cavity rise up near the insulated walls from the hot bottom wall towards the cold top wall. As a result of the effects of the thick cold boundary layer near the top wall, the vertical fluid flow velocities decrease until they are at a minimum near the cold wall; on the other hand, the horizontal velocity increases and then decreases to achieve minimum velocity near the middle of the cavity in order to achieve the bicellular flows. Fig. 5 shows the vertical/horizontal velocity variations on the middle of the vertical/horizontal planes of the cavity at \( Ra=500 \), \( Da=10^4 \), \( N=2.0 \), \( Le=0.1 \), and at different inclination angles. The U and V velocities increasing when the \( \phi \) increasing until \( \phi=60^\circ \) and then it decreases when \( \phi \) increases. For the opposed flow, Fig. 6 demonstrated the variation of the U and V velocity at the mid of the horizontal/vertical planes of the enclosure at \( Ra=500 \), \( Da=10^4 \), \( N=-5.0 \), \( Le=1 \) and different inclination angles. The U-velocity profiles show that for \( \phi=0^\circ \), the U-velocity profile is very sharp and it smoothly decreases when \( \phi \) increases. In the case of the V-velocity profile, the V-velocity decreases when the angles of inclination increase. Also, it can be clearly observed that most of the high negative V-velocities are near the hot wall, the high positive V-velocities are near the cold wall (counter clockwise flow) and the minimum V-velocities are in the middle of the porous cavity. The effect of the Rayleigh number on the average of Nusselt and Sherwood numbers is presented in Figs 7 (a) and (b) respectively for \( Da=10^4 \) and \( \phi=30^\circ \) with a different Lewis and buoyancy ratio. These graphs show that, when the Ra increases when Nu increases. Additionally, for a positive buoyancy ratio, the Nu increases with increasing of Lewis number until \( Le=1 \) and then its decreases. Moreover, it decreases with increasing of Lewis number for a negative buoyancy ratio as shown in Table 1. At a constant Ra, the reduction of the Lewis number naturally implies a relatively high mass diffusivity value. When the Le is decreased, the thickness of the thermal boundary layers near the hot and cold walls becomes thinner than the concentration boundary layers. Therefore, when the Lewis number decreases, the heat transfer increases and the mass transfer is decreased. Table 1 presents the effect of inclination angles on the Sherwood and Nusselt numbers for \( Ra=10^5 \), \( Da=10^4 \), at different \( Le \) and \( N \). It shows that for a positive buoyancy ratio, the maximum average of \( Sh \) and \( Nu \) occur when the inclination angle is between \( 30^\circ \) and \( 45^\circ \). This means both of them increases when the \( \phi \) increases until around \( \phi=45^\circ \), then they decreasing with increasing of \( \phi \). For the opposing flow (negative buoyancy ratio), both of the \( Nu \) and \( Sh \) decrease when \( \phi \) decrease.
Figure 2. Stream functions (left), isotherms (middle) and iso-concentration (right) lines for $\text{Da}=10^{4}$, $\text{Ra}^* = 100$, $\text{Pr}=1.0$, $\theta=0.36$, $\text{Le}=10.0$ and $N=-1.0$ with different inclination angles.
Figure 3. Stream functions (left), isotherms (middle) and iso-concentration (right) lines for Da=10^6, Ra*=100, Pr=1.0, ε=0.36, Le=0.1 and N= -1.0 with different inclination angles.
Figure 4. Stream functions (left), isotherms (middle) and iso-concentration (right) lines for Da=10^{-6}, Ra*=100, Pr=1.0, \( \varepsilon = 0.36 \), Le=0.1 and N= 5.0 with different inclination angles.
Figure 5 U-velocity at X=0.5 and V-velocity at Y=0.5 for Da=10^{-6}, Ra*=500, Le=0.1, N=2.0 for different inclination angles.

Figure 6 U-velocity at X=0.5 and V-velocity at Y=0.5 for Da=10^{-6}, Ra*=500, Le=1.0, N=-5.0 for different inclination angles.

Figure 7 Variation of (a) average Nusselt numbers (Nu) and (b) average Sherwood (Sh) numbers with Ra* for Da=10^{-4}, \phi =30^\circ with different Le and N.
Table 1 Average Nusselt and Sherwood numbers at \( Da=10^4 \), \( Ra^* = 10^5 \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( Le )</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>( \phi=0 )</th>
<th>( \phi=30 )</th>
<th>( \phi=45 )</th>
<th>( \phi=60 )</th>
<th>( \phi=85 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( Nu )</td>
<td>( Sh )</td>
<td>( Nu )</td>
<td>( Sh )</td>
<td>( Nu )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>7.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>19.60</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>23.22</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>22.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>17.87</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>19.49</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>19.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-5</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>16.14</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

In this study, a generalized model used in a numerical investigation on double-diffusive natural convection in an inclined square cavity filled with porous medium. Two opposing walls of the square cavity are adiabatic, while the other walls are at constant concentrations and temperatures. ALFARHANY code has been used to solve the governing equations in dimensionless forms using finite volume approach with SIMPLER algorithm. The results are presented in terms of \( Sh \) and \( Nu \) profiles, and also for the streamline, iso-concentration, and isoenthalpy. The predictions included different values in the dimensionless parameters, specifically, cavity inclination angle \( (0^\circ \leq \phi \leq 90^\circ) \), buoyancy ratio \( (5 \leq N \leq 5) \), Lewis number \( (0.1 \leq Le \leq 1) \), Darcy number \( (10^6 \leq Da \leq 10^7) \) and Rayleigh number \( (10^2 \leq Ra^* \leq 10^3) \), and the Prandtl number is taken as \( Pr=1.0 \). The results show that, when opposite buoyancy forces are considered \( (N>1) \), the convection in the porous cavity is always a multiplicity of steady solutions with flow fields of two, three and four flow cells. Also, it can be observed that the positive vortex strength increases when the angle of the inclination is increased until \( \phi=45^\circ \) and subsequently the positive vortex strength decreases when the angle of the inclination is increased. Also, the same behaviour can be seen for the positive buoyancy forces. Furthermore, the negative vortex strength decreases when the angle of the inclination is increased. For cases of \( \phi=90^\circ \), it can be observed that no vortex appears and this occurs because of the low flow intensity. On the other hand, when a positive buoyancy ratio is considered the convection in the porous cavity is always in a single cell and it occurs in a clockwise flow. Moreover, at \( \phi=90^\circ \) the bicalorean flow cell.

In general, the results show that for a positive buoyancy ratio \( (N>0) \), the Nu and Sh increase when the angle of inclination increases until around \( \phi=45^\circ \), and then they decrease when \( \phi \) is increased. For an opposing flow (negative buoyancy ratio), both the Nu and Sh decrease when the angle of inclination is decreased. Also, the average Sherwood and Nusselt numbers increase when the Rayleigh number increases, while they decrease when the Darcy number increases. For an \( (N>0) \), the Nu increases when the Le increases until Le is equal to 1 and then the Nu decreases when the Le increases. On the other hand, the Nu decreases when the Le decreases for a negative buoyancy ratio. Moreover, the Sherwood number increases when the Lewis number increases. It was also observed that the Nu and Sh numbers increase when the \( |N| > 1 \) increases.
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