Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice in a sample of Iraqi type 2 diabetic patients
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**Abstract**

Background: knowledge; attitude and practice backgrounds affect much the outcome of diabetes. Non-compliance imposes a considerable health care and financial burden on the health system.

Objectives: To assess the knowledge, attitude and practice background of type 2 diabetes Iraqi patients toward their disease by using the Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) questionnaire.

Patients and methods: A cross sectional questionnaire based study enrolled 300 type 2 diabetes patients. It was held in National diabetic center/Al-Mustansiriyah University in Baghdad from January 2015 through April 2015. Patients knowledge, attitude and practice background were assessed using KAP (knowledge Attitude and Practice) questionnaires.

Results: Mean knowledge score was 6.48±2.66, attitude score was 5.28±2.07 and practice score was 2.66, attitude score was 5.28±2.07 and practice score was 4.62±1.49. males showed significantly higher KAP scores than females (P<0.001).

Patients with higher significant education had the highest knowledge score in comparison with those with secondary education; the difference was statistically highly significant (P<0.001).

Patients living in urban areas showed significantly higher mean score than those living in rural areas (P<0.001). Patients who had jobs experienced a significantly higher knowledge score than those without job (P<0.001). Smoker patients had significantly higher KAP scores than non-smoker patients (P<0.001).
Conclusion: The study concluded that low/ moderate knowledge, attitude and practice of diabetes among the diabetic patients, which need more effort and role to be played by clinical pharmacist to fulfill this gap.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a combination of heterogeneous disorders commonly presenting with episodes of hyperglycemia and glucose intolerance, as a result of lack of insulin, defective insulin action, or both (1). Such defective insulin action may arise due to derangements in the regulatory systems for storage and mobilization of metabolic fuels, including the catabolism and anabolism of carbohydrates, lipids and proteins emanating from defective insulin secretion, insulin action, or both (2).

Classification of diabetes mellitus is based on its etiology and clinical presentation; therefore, there are four types or classes of diabetes mellitus; type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, gestational diabetes, and other specific types (3, 4).

Diet, life style modification and insulin replacement by exogenous insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents (OHA) are considered the cornerstone for the type 2 diabetes management (5).

Low compliance to prescribed medications is an important and complex problem, especially for patients with a chronic illness. Poor compliance may have a major impact on clinical outcome. Medical non-compliance imposes a considerable financial burden upon modern health care systems (6).

The lack of adequate solid data and studies about the knowledge, attitude and practice background of type 2 diabetes Iraqi patients were the reason to conduct the present study; therefore the aim of the present study was:

To assess the knowledge, attitude and practice background of type 2 diabetes Iraqi patients toward their disease by using the Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) questionnaire.

Patients and Methods:

A cross sectional questionnaire based study enrolled 300 type 2 diabetes patients were conducted in the National Diabetes Center (NDC) 1 Al-Mustansiriyah University, for the period January -April 2015. Patients’ knowledge, attitude and practice background toward diabetes were assessed using KAP (knowledge Attitude and Practice) questionnaires.

Results:

Mean knowledge score was 6.48±2.66, attitude score was 5.28±2.07 and practice score was 4.62±1.49, as shown in table 1. males showed significantly higher KAP scores than females (P<0.001). Patients with higher significant education had the highest knowledge score in comparison with those with secondary education; the difference was statistically highly significant (P<0.001). Patients living in urban areas showed significantly higher mean score than those living in rural areas (P<0.001). Patients who had jobs experienced a significantly higher knowledge score than those without job (P<0.001). Smoker patients had significantly higher KAP scores than non-smoker patients (P<0.001), as shown in table 2.
**Table 1:** KAP Score of study sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>mean (average)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Score</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>6.47 - 6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude Score</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>5.27 - 5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice Score</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>4.61 - 4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total KAP</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>16.37 - 16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was significant association between age of patients and KAP score as well as significant association between duration of disease and KAP score, as shown in tables 3 and 4.
**Table 2:** The KAP score according to characteristics of study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean  (m)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n=138)</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>6071 - 6.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n=162)</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>6.25 - 6.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate (n=131)</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>4.66 - 4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary (n=77)</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>6.62 - 6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College (n=92)</td>
<td>8.93</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>8.92 - 8.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural (n=88)</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>4.81 - 4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (n=212)</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>7.15 - 7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation (Job)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jobless (n=166)</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>5.36 - 5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job (n=134)</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>11.01</td>
<td>7.73 - 7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker (n=200)</td>
<td>6.44</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>6.43 - 6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (n=100)</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>6.53 - 6.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** KAP score according to Age distribution groups

103
Age groups | < 40 years (n= 24) | 41 - 50 years (n= 96) | 51 - 60 years (n=131) | > 60 years (n= 49)
---|---|---|---|---
Knowledge score m±SD (95% CI) | 8.0±2.1 (7.97 - 8.02) | 7.57±2.23 (7.55-7.58) | 4.04±2.67 (6.03-6.06) | 4.77±2.37 (4.75-4.79)
Attitude score m±SD (95% CI) | 6.37±1.7(6.35 - 6.39) | 6.2±1.99 (6.19-6.22) | 4.81±1.89 (4.80-4.82) | 4.2±1.91 (4.18-4.22)
Practice score m±SD (95% CI) | 5.0±1.35(4.98 - 5.01) | 4.82±1.4 (4.81-4.83) | 4.41±1.57 (4.41-4.42) | 4.59±1.25 (4.58-4.6)

The factorial two way ANOVA, F=24.88, P<0.0001

Table 4: KAP score according to Duration of Diabetes Mellitus

| Duration of DM | < 5 years (n=84) | 5 - 10 years (n=151) | 11 - 15 years (n=48) | > 15 years (n=17)
---|---|---|---|---
Knowledge score m±SD (95% CI) | 7.33±2.61(7.31-7.35) | 6.54±2.46(6.53-6.55) | 4.95±2.46(4.91-4.95) | 6.11±2.99(6.07-6.16)
Attitude score m±SD (95% CI) | 6.11±1.92(6.10-6.13) | 5.18±2.03(5.17-5.19) | 4.45±1.93(4.44-4.47) | 4.41±2.18(4.37-4.44)
Practice score m±SD (95% CI) | 4.77±1.54(4.76-4.78) | 4.54±1.51(4.54-4.55) | 4.52±1.28(4.50-4.53) | 4.82±1.62(4.79-4.84)

The factorial two way ANOVA, F=17.56, P<0.0001
Discussion:
The KAP questionnaire analysis shows mean of knowledge, attitude and practice scores of study participants as 6.48, 5.28 and 4.62 respectively; which are poor scores according to Hratayu et al. 2012 (7). This poor score may be attributed to high proportion of illiterate patients and the lack of sufficient education programs about diabetes, complications and treatment.

The study participants shows higher KAP questionnaire scores of males than of the female, like what found by Balla et.al., 2014 (8); this may be attributable to the fact that Males may had better opportunity to know about diabetes.

The present study showed that educated patients had significantly higher knowledge, attitude and practice scores than illiterate patients. This may be attributed to the fact that well educated people had stronger motivation toward exploring facts about their disease and its future complication. Also educated people had the opportunity to get learn about their disease and its treatment from social media. Also, Balla et.al. (2014) (8), Saleh et.al. (2012) (9) and Al-Maskari et.al. (2013) (10), in agreement with the result of the present study, reported a highly significant in knowledge score in relation to level of education.

Our study shows higher KAP questionnaire scores of urban, employed and smoking participants when compared with rural, jobless and non smoker participants, (P <0.001). Younger patients and those with less duration of disease had significantly higher KAP Questionnaire scores than others; This was in agreement with Balla et.al. (2014) (8) and Al-Maskari et.al. (2013) (10).

Conclusions:
The study concluded that low/moderate knowledge, attitude and practice of diabetes among the diabetic patients, which need more effort and role to be played by clinical pharmacist to fulfill this gap.
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