Study of biological activity of Eruca sativa as antimicrobial agent
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The antimicrobial activity of Eruca sativa extract was tested against various Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria as well as yeast. Ethanol extract showed better activity against the tested bacteria compared to the yeast. Minimum inhibitory concentration of the extract was 100 gm/ml. Active compounds alkaloid; glycoside, flavonoids and saponins were revealed by the photo chemical analysis.
Introduction

The use of natural products with therapeutic properties is as ancient as human civilizations about 25% of the drugs prescribed worldwide still come from plants [1]. The rocket (Eruca sativa) commonly found in the Mediterranean diet, it's from the family Brassicaceae [2]. Eruca sativa has positive and beneficial effects on human health because of its chemical composition, which contains vitamin A, C, flavonoids, and glucosides [3]. Rocket is considered an excellent source of antioxidants [4]. The leaves are used in salads and as a spice, while its seeds are used for the production of oil [5]. Eruca seeds and leaves are known for their medicinal properties [6]. Eruca seeds and leaves protected against oxidative damage by increasing the levels of antioxidant enzymes [8]. There are few reports available on antibacterial properties of leaves extracts. Hence this prompted us to screen the leaves extracts. Our work focuses on ethanol extract of Eruca sativa leaves against bacteria that cause urinary tract infection like E. coli, P. aeruginosa and those are becoming a clinical problem in hospital like S. aureus and determine its active compounds.

Experimental

Plant: Eruca sativa was collected from Baghdad. The samples were washed, dried and ground into a powder. The powder was then extracted with ethanol to prepare the extract 100 mg/mL and 300 mg/mL [9].

Chemical analysis

**Determination of Alkaloid:**

200 mL of 10% acetic acid in ethanol was added to 5 gm of the sample and put into a 250 mL beaker, covered and allowed to stand for 4 hr. This was filtered and the extract was concentrated on a water bath to one-quarter of the original volume. Concentrated ammonium hydroxide was added drop wise to the extract until the precipitation was complete. The whole solution was allowed to settle and the precipitation was collected and washed with dilute ammonium hydroxide and then filtered. The residue is the alkaloid, which was dried and weighed [10, 11, 12].

**Determination of saponin:** 20 gm of ground sample were put into a conical flask and 100 cm$^3$ of 20% aqueous ethanol were added. The samples were heated over a hot water bath for 4 hr with continuous stirring at 55°C. The mixture was filtered and the residue re-extracted with another 200 mL 20% ethanol. The combined extracts were reduced to 40 mL over water bath at about 90°C. The concentrate was transferred into a 250 mL separatory funnel and 20 mL of diethyl ether was added and shaken vigorously. The aqueous layer was recovered while the ether layer was discarded. The purification process was repeated. 60 mL of n-butanol was added. The combined n-butanol extracts were washed twice with 10 mL of 5% aqueous sodium chloride. The remaining solution was heated in a water bath. After evaporation the samples were dried in the oven to a constant weight; the saponin content was calculated as percentage [10, 11, 12].

**Determination of flavonoid:** To determine the flavonoid content, 10 gm of the plant sample was extracted repeatedly with 100 mL of 80% aqueous methanol at room temperature. The whole solution was filtered through Whatman filter paper No 42. The filtrate was later transferred into a crucible and evaporated into dryness over a water bath and weighed to constant weight [10, 11, 12].

**Determination of glycosides:** The absorbance was measured at 495 nm [10, 11, 12]. The absorbance of the mixture was matched with 10 mL (95 mL of 1% picric acid + 5 mL of 10% NaOH). After an hour the mixture was diluted with 20 mL distilled water.

**Determination of antibacterial activity**

Gram positive bacteria were Streptococcus pyogenes and Staphylococcus aureus, and Gram negative bacteria were Serratia marcescens, Escherichia coli, Shigella dysenteria, Burkholderia cepacia, Klebsilla pneumonia.
Pseudomonas earuginosa, Providencia sp and Acinetobacter sp. All were selected for this study from Al-Nahrian medicine collage. Agar well diffusion manner [13] was utilized to appraisal the antibacterial activity of the extract, which incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

**The diameter of the zone**

The inhibition zone was measured in millimeter. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Eruca sativa leafs was determined by microtitration technique [14].

**Determination of antifungal activity**

To assess the inhibition activity of the extract against fungi the percussion desorbed by [15] was wielded.

**Results**

Table (1) showed the antibacterial activity of the ethanol extract at 300mg/mL. From the result we can see that the highest activity was against Pseudomonas earuginosa then E.coli, Providencia Sp, Shigeilla dysenteria, Serratia marcescens, and Streptococcus pyogens. Table (2) showed the anti-fungi activity of the extract at 300mg/ml which upper inhibition activity against Candida albicans only. The chemical analyze showed in table (3). Than we compare the antibacterial activity of Eruca extract with antibiotic ciprofloxacin 5ug, ampicilin 10ug, and the result showed in table (4).

**Discussion**

The use of the medicinal plants in the treatment of human diseases is an age-old practice in traditional systems of medicine throughout the world. Medicinal plants are an important source of diverse bioactive and therapeutic compounds. And the recent increase in the numbers of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria has triggered immense interest in new drugs or preparation from natural sources, including plants. Particularly problematic groups of MDR bacteria include methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE), beta-lactamase producing enteric bacteria (E.coli, Salmonella, Klebsiella, Shigella spp.) and other MDR Pseudomonas spp., Campylobacter spp., and Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Excessive and indiscriminate use of antibiotics has led to the development of such drug-resistant bacteria both in hospitals and communities all over the world.

Resistance to most commonly used antibiotics, including beta-lactam antibiotics and newer synthetic fast-acting fluoroquinolone, is on the rise. Bacteria develop resistance through various mechanisms, encoded by chromosomes, plasmids, and transposons.

Considerable work has been done on the antibacterial activity of plant extracts and phytocompounds. In some cases the mode of active of phytocompounds has been documented. Considering the various mechanisms of drug resistance present in bacteria, the specific activity of plant extracts/compounds may help in combating MDR bacteria. Such novel activity includes [16] MDR pump inhibition activity, [17] inhibition of beta-lactamase production or activity [18]. Anti-R-plasmid activity (interference with plasmid physiology [19]. Synergy of phytocompounds with antibiotics [20]. Targeting virulence and pathogenicity of bacteria [21]. And gene transfer mechanisms. Some of these approaches have already been attempted by researchers, while other suitable strategies and methods have to be employed by the scientists and pharmaceutical company involved in screening new antimicrobials from medicinal plants. Careful selection of potential medicinal plants and intelligent design of the test systems is the key to successful screening outcome. Because of increasing incidence of multiresistant bacterial infection caused by Gram-positive bacteria (such as Staphylococcus, Enterococcus and Streptococcus species) and Gram-negative bacteria (such as Pseudomonas, Enterobacter) medicinal plant have been used for centuries in folk medicine as remedies for human disease because they content compounds of therapeutic
value [22]. Alkaloid intercalate into cell wall and DNA, flavonoids inactivate enzymes with cell wall [23]. Urinary tract infection cause by Esherichia coli and Pseudomonas earuginosa [24]. Candida albicans causes the yeast infection which occurs in mouth [25].

Conclusion

The result suggests that the detection ratio of the resistant bacteria become lower so the use of extract could be decreased Urinary tract infection.
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infection in adults including uncomplicated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strains</th>
<th>Diameter zone of inhibition in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas Earuginosa</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providencia Sp.</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esherichia coli</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcus pyogens</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigeilla dysenteria</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serratia marcescens</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkholderia cepacia</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acinetobacter Sp.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control / DMSO</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (1)

**Antibacterial activity of Eruca sativa at 300 mg/mL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fungi</th>
<th>Diameter zone of inhibition in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Candida parapsilosis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida albicans</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida tropicalis</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Candida glabrata</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table (2)

**Antifungal activity of Eruca sativa at 300mg/mL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical test</th>
<th>percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloid</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table (3)

**Chemical Anylazes of Eruca sativa extract**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strains</th>
<th>Diameter zone of inhibition in mm for Ciprofloxacin 5ug</th>
<th>Diameter zone of inhibition in mm for Ampicillin 10ug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esherichia coli</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigeilla dysenteria</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas Earuginosa</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table (4)

**Antibiotic sensitive**
C: control                               D: dublicate                          1: Extract of Eruca sativa

The result of antibacterial activity of Eruca sativa at 300 mg/mL
Candida tropicalis  
Candida albicans

Candida glabrata  
Candida parapsilosis

The result of antifungal activity of Eruca sativa at 300 mg/mL

The result of antibiotic sensitive